

On Souleymane Kanté's Translation of the Quran into the Maninka language¹

Artem Davydov

St. Petersburg State University, Russia

The Quran was first translated from Arabic into Maninka by a Guinean self-taught scholar Souleymane Kanté (1922-1987), the inventor of the N'ko script and the founder of the N'ko literary tradition. The exact dates of the beginning and the completion of the translation are unknown. According to Oyler [2005, 92-93] whose data are based on interviews with N'ko activists, the translation of the Quran was Kanté's first work. Therefore, his first essays of the Maninka Quran translation date back to the late 1940s or the early 1950s. There is evidence that the translation was finished not earlier than in the late 1960's, since in his letter to a French Africanist Maurice Houis dated March 5, 1964, Kanté stated that his translation was not yet finished [Vydrine 2001]. For decades handwritten copies and, later, photocopies of Kanté's translation circulated among readers. The first official publications appeared only after the translator's death. As Oyler [2005, 189] indicates, there were at least three editions. The first edition took place in 1994 (1000 copies printed), the second one in 1998 (also 1000 copies). The third edition [Kùrana... 1999] was published in Saudi Arabia in 1999 (50,000 copies). In the present article I rely on the text of the latter edition. According to Ibrahima Sory Condé's oral report (September 2011), this edition was ready to be published by 1988, but printing was delayed due to disagreements between the heirs of Souleymane Kanté and publishers in Saudi Arabia. Particularly, Kanté's original manuscript included a postface, but the publisher insisted that the text of the Quran is sacral and self-sufficient and, therefore, does not require one. Thus, the Kanté postface was relocated to the beginning of the book.

¹ The current study is a part of the project "Elaboration of the model of an electronic corpus of texts in Manding languages (Maninka, Bamana)" sponsored by Russian Foundation of Fundamental Studies, grant 10-0-00219-a.

The full title of the book, as represented on its front page, is as follows: *Kùrana kálanke n'à kódo` dálamìdanén màndén fòdoba kán` dó nàlimun íkó` dí Kánté Sùlemáana bólo* ‘The Holy Quran and its sense translated into the common Manding language, or N’ko, by Souleymane Kanté’. It is commonly known that translation of the Quran from Arabic into other languages has always been a controversial issue in Islamic theology. Although the first translations appeared very early, a more frequent practice was to publish the Quran with comments in other languages or even with word-to-word glosses [Paret, 1986; Mérad, 1998]. According to a more liberal modern Islamic theology, translations of the Quran are possible under two conditions. First, they should be considered as “interpretations”, rather than translations. Second, the Quran should only be recited in the Arabic language. However, “Kanté is reported to have argued that Quran is not written by Allah, but by man, and, therefore, those restrictions did not apply” [Oyler 2005, 92].

There is no doubt that for the majority of the Maninka Muslims the translation of the Quran into their mother tongue is the only way to get acquainted with the content of this book. Quranic schooling, widespread in Guinea and in neighboring countries, usually only gives an individual an ability to read Quranic scripts aloud, but not to understand their meanings.² Under these circumstances the translation seems to acquire a sacred status of its own, which seems not to have been implied by the translator. For instance, Kanté’s translation was published in Guinea as an audiobook.³ The reciter, the imam of one of the mosques in Conakry, accurately imitates the manner of reciting the Quran in Arabic, though it is difficult to say what exact style of recital he follows. Of course, audio editions of Quran are widespread all over the Muslim world. However, two aspects should be mentioned: first, the text of a translation is always *read aloud*, but not *chanted*. Second, it only serves as a commentary to the original Arabic text which is usually also present in audio editions.

As stated above, the Quran translation seems to be the first book in N’ko. It is likely that Kanté established the literary N’ko language and developed his theory of

² This is not true for advanced traditional Islamic education in Manding-speaking areas. The role of oral explanations of the Quran in Manding languages has been discussed in several articles by Tal Tamari [1996; 2002; 2006].

³ This fact undoubtedly increases the potential audience of the translation. The Manding “cassette literature” is discussed in detail in the following publications: [Launay 1997; Newton 1999; Shultz 2003; 2006].

linguistic purism while working on this translation. The present article is devoted to the analysis of some of its linguistic (primarily, lexical) features.

Some other translations of the Quran into Manding languages are also available. There are several Mandinka translations; some of them are only available as audiobooks on the Internet, some others are printed, e.g. [Aaya... 1989]. The whole Bamana translation has been recently published, cf. [Jara 2011].⁴ However, Kanté's translation is likely to be the first full one.

All excerpts from the N'ko Quran cited in this article are glossed and given in Roman transliteration which follows the rules described in [Vydrine 1999, 13]. Word-spacing corresponds to that of the original. After each N'ko example the corresponding Arabic excerpt and its English translation by Arthur John Arberry are given.

Terminology of the Quran

A Russian Arabist, Kashtaleva [1928, 7], wrote: "The terminology is not something random. Each term, as it appears in the source, represents a big work which is hidden behind it. It stands for a phenomenon developed and reflected in this term. The terminology of the Quran could not have been created instantly and cannot reflect random phenomena. Every new term, as it appears in the Quran, should have its history and should fix a certain phase of development of a certain phenomenon" (translation mine).

As the terminology of the Quran reflects the history of the rise of a monotheistic religion in Arabia, the terminology of its translation into Maninka reflects (besides the translator's work) the long history of islamization of the Manding-speaking peoples. In the chapter *Kùraná` yóro` dó lú fàsari kúda`* 'The new interpretation of some places of the Quran' of the preface to the N'ko Quran, Kanté writes: "We are used to interpretations (translations) of some places of the Quran in Soninke or in Arabic, but now is the time to translate all of them into the common Manding language" (translation mine). Indeed, the Islamic and Quranic lexicon in Maninka and in other Manding languages is mostly borrowed from Arabic and, to a lesser degree, from Soninke. The Soninke people were the ethnic base of the Ancient Ghana Empire (or Wagadu), which existed in the 9th to 11th centuries in the territories of present-day Mali, Mauritania and Senegal. According to an 11th century Arabic historian, al-Bakri, the population of Ghana, including the upper classes, stuck to traditional religions, though Muslims (mostly from North Africa) were also present [Kubbel 1990, 52]. In any case, the Islamization of Soninke dates back to an earlier

⁴ On previously published partial versions of this translation, see [Zappa 2004; 2009].

period than that of the Manding-speaking peoples. The spread of Islam in West Africa is strongly connected with the activities of Soninke Muslim merchants. This explains the high quantity of Soninke loanwords in Manding. In particular, as shown by Vydrin [2008], a significant part of the Manding honorific lexicon (a special register to address respected people) traces back to Soninke words. Besides, Arabic words often came to Manding languages through Soninke.

Souleymane Kanté was a descendant of a marabout family (*móri* ‘Muslim cleric’). That means that he was familiar with the tradition of commentary on the Quran in Manding languages. Of course, this tradition is far from unified, however, there seems to be a kind of agreement among Manding marabouts about translation of certain parts of the Quran. In his translation Kanté often argues with this tradition.⁵

Kanté opposes to this tradition his own concept of language purism most fully represented in his Explanatory Dictionary of N’ko [Kánté 1998].⁶ This dictionary, which is still the most complete lexicographic study of Maninka, includes not only words which really exist in the spoken language, but also numerous neologisms created by Kanté and his followers. It is important that neologisms are created not only to denote new concepts or objects (TV, computer, airplane, etc.) but also to replace loanwords deeply rooted in the language. In written N’ko texts these neologisms are used very widely, the translation of the Quran not being an exception. As any other purist though, Kanté was not perfectly consistent in the application of his concepts. As a result, numerous loanwords still appear in the Maninka translation of the Quran.

Three approaches can be singled out with relation to the borrowing:

- retention of a loanword;
- terminologization of a common Manding word in order to avoid an existing Arabic loanword;
- creation of neologisms as an alternative to existing Arabic loanwords.

These approaches will be discussed below. All examples are chosen randomly from the most frequent Quranic vocabulary. I do not intend to give a comprehensive

⁵ It is noteworthy that Souleymane Kanté’s father was famous among the marabouts as a foremost educator. Thus, he reduced the time it took to learn Arabic at his school from seven to three years [Oyler 2005, 93]. Undoubtedly, this was reached by using more advanced teaching techniques.

⁶ Later editions of the dictionary are also available. In the second edition [Jàane 2003], Mamadi Baba Diané is mentioned as the editor.

description of the Maninka Quranic terminology in comparison with the Arabic one, for which a far more voluminous study would be required.

1) **Retention of a loanword** is rare. In fact, it is only seldom that the translator yields to tradition and keeps a borrowed term in its current meaning. The most evident examples of this approach are the names of God *Ála* (from Ar. *'allah*) and of the Quran *kùraná* (Ar. *qur'ān*). Even in the latter example one can see an attempt to establish a language norm, as in spoken Maninka the word 'Quran' is also attested in the forms *àlikúrana*, *àlkúrana* (coming back to the Arabic forms with the definite article). These two examples are quite predictable, though.

Two types of borrowings can be distinguished, conscious (like those cited above) and unconscious. The latter do not seem to have been identified as words of foreign origin by the translator. Unconscious loanwords include, first, words of Soninke origin (as, apparently, Kanté was not fluent in this language) and, second, some Arabic words which have undergone significant phonological changes. Needless to say, one may judge as to the (un)consciousness of loanwords only through relying on indirect evidence.

Here is an example illustrating the use of conscious loanwords:

(15:30-31) *Mèleká` bée kà tìnbidin` ò ké kóde,*
angel-ART all PFV bow-ART this do completely

fó Bìrisí.

but Iblis

فَسَجَدَ الْمَلَائِكَةُ كُلُّهُمْ أَجْمَعُونَ إِلَّا إِبْلِيسَ 'Then the angels bowed themselves all together, save Iblis'.

This sentence is rather atypical, for it contains two conscious loans: *mèleká* 'angel' is a borrowing from Ar. *malak* 'angel', and *bìrisí* 'Iblis' is a borrowing from Ar. *iblis* 'Iblis, devil'. In the spoken Maninka the latter word also occurs in the form *íbulisa*. In his choice between more and less adapted word forms, the translator gives his preference to the more adapted one. Borrowing vocabulary denoting supernatural creatures may seem inevitable, however, as it will be shown below, in some cases Kanté manages to avoid it.

Quite often a Manding word and a loanword with a close meaning coexist in the translation. Their distribution is never random, though. The parallel use of *díina* 'religion' (from Ar. *dīn*) and *námun* 'tradition, habit' can serve as an example. *Díina*

regularly appears as an equivalent of Ar. *dīn* ‘religion, faith’, while *námun* serves an equivalent of Ar. *milla* ‘religion, faith, teaching’, e.g.:

(109:6) *Álu tá díina` y' álu bólo,*
 2PL POS religion-ART COP 2PL LOC

ń fána tá díina` yé ń bólo.
 1.SG too POS religion-ART COP 1SG LOC

لَكُمْ دِينُكُمْ وَلِيَ دِينِ ‘To you your religion, and to me my religion!’

(2:120) *Yàhúudu` lù ní nàsáara` lù sí ténà díjɛ*
 Jew-ART PL and Christian-ART PL any FUT:NEG be.pleased

l' î mà fõ n' î k' àlu lá námun`
 PP 2SG PP until if 2SG PFV 3PL POS religion-ART
ná-bàto.

CAUS-honour

وَلَنْ تَرْضَىٰ عَنْكَ الْيَهُودُ وَلَا النَّصَارَىٰ حَتَّىٰ تَتَّبِعَ مِلَّتَهُمْ ‘Never will the Jews be satisfied with thee, neither the Christians, not till thou followest their religion’.

In the ayat 2:120 two conscious Arabic loans occur: *yàhúudu* ‘Jew’ and *nàsáara* ‘Christian’. It is interesting that in both cases, plural forms of Arabic nouns were borrowed: *yahūdu* ‘Jews’ and *naṣārā* ‘Christians’. In Maninka these forms are singular and can add a plural marker *lu*, as in the examples above.

2) **Terminologization**: the translator uses an original Manding word in the meaning which is close to its meaning in the everyday language or coincides with it. For instance, the verb *pálanka* has meanings ‘to quit the community’ and ‘to divorce’. In the Quranic context it acquires the meaning ‘to renounce the Islamic faith’, hence *pálankabaa* ‘apostate’. It should be noted that an Arabic loanword *múrutu* ‘to rebel’ (Ar. *murtadd* ‘rebel, apostate’) could be possible here.

The translator uses newly created terms to replace Arabic words which one would expect in the translation. For example, instead of widely used in the spoken Maninka loanword *mùnafóyi* ‘hypocrite, pharisee’ (from Ar. *munāfiq* ‘hypocrite’, which would mean in the religious context ‘one who does not believe but pretends to be a pious Muslim’) we encounter the word *filankáfo* ‘hypocrite’ (from *filá(n)* ‘two’ + *kàfõ* ‘to unite’):

(4:61) ...í dí filankáfo lù kódon-nén y' ò lá pón.

2SG FUT hypocrite PL turn.away-RES see it in quickly

رَأَيْتَ الْمُنَافِقِينَ يَصُدُّونَ عَنْكَ صُدُودًا '...then thou seest the hypocrites barring the way to thee'.

Náfula 'smth. additional, not obligatory' (in the religious context, 'an additional Muslim prayer, besides the five basic ones', from Ar. *nāfila* 'smth. done in addition') is replaced by *díyadiya*, whose original meaning is 'voluntary work':

(17:79) Sú` fána dɔ, í yé sî-ní-ná-na` ké

night-ART too in 2SG OPT spend.night-1SG-eye-PP-ART do

à lá í jède yé díyadiya` dí.

3SG LOC 2SG oneself OPT additional-ART PP

وَمِنَ اللَّيْلِ فَتَهَجَّدْ بِهِ نَافِلَةً لَّكَ 'And as for the night, keep vigil a part of it, as a work of supererogation for thee'.

The translator often resorts to the terminologization when dealing with names of supernatural creatures. Pre-islamic Manding religious vocabulary is preferred to Arabic loanwords. For example, instead of *sètána* 'Satan' (from Ar. *shayṭān*) we find *gbèdé*, whose original meaning is 'one of the deities of the Manding preislamic pantheon':

(15:17) À ní k' ò lù ké k' à tànká gbèdé

3SG and INF 3SG PL do INF 3SG protect devil

kùru-la-bon-tá dàamá` mà.

stone-CAUS-throw-PTCP.POT any-ART PP

وَحَفِظْنَاهَا مِنْ كُلِّ شَيْطَانٍ رَّجِيمٍ '...and guarded them from every accursed Satan'.

Instead of *jíná* 'jinn' (from Ar. *jinn*), the word *wàkúlo* 'a bush spirit' is used:

(6:100) Àlu báda gbàra-nón` dó ké Ála lá

3PL PRF collect-together-ART some do God PP

wàkúlo` lù dí.

spirit-ART PL PP

وَجَعَلُوا لِلَّهِ شُرَكَاءَ الْجِنَّ 'Yet they ascribe to God, as associates, the jinn'.

3) **Creating neologisms.** A borrowed term is replaced with a new one created using only original Manding vocabulary (or, at least, words considered by the translator as such). Most often, the neologisms are of rather transparent structure and should be easily understood by native speakers of Maninka. However, it is difficult to say how big is their terminological potential.

This group of words includes, in particular, names of structural units of the Quran:

fóda ‘sura’, from *fó* ‘to say’ and *dá* ‘number’, literally, ‘the number of the speech’, cf. the loanword *súra(n)* from Ar. *sūra*.

láfaari ‘ayat’, from a rare verb *láfaari*, which is attested only in the N’ko Dictionary, where it is interpreted as follows: *fén ké jènkenén` dí k`à bó cágbaya` lá* ‘to incline a thing and make it lose its balance’.⁷ The verb *lájènké* ‘to incline, to open’ is mentioned as a synonym. Cf. the loanword *háya* from Ar. *āya* (also attested in [Ellenberger et al., Ms.]; an identical Bamana form is mentioned in [Bailleul 2007]; the N’ko Dictionary [Jàane 2003] gives the form *háaya*).

Certain neologisms seem semantically unjustified, as the translator creates them to replace loanwords deeply rooted in the language. For instance, instead of *káfiri* ‘disbeliever, pagan’, everywhere in the translation the word *bànbáa* is used, from *bàn* ‘to refuse’ + agentive suffix *-baa*, literally, ‘one who refuses’. Example:

(109:1) *À fó kó hèn álù bànbáa lù.*
3SG say QUOT o! 2PL disbeliever PL

فُلْ يَا أَيُّهَا الْكَافِرُونَ ‘Say: “O unbelievers...”’

Instead of *dòndóri* ‘tyrant; boaster’ (from Soninke *dunderé* ‘boaster’⁸) the word *jèdesaanayalá* is used in the translation, from *jède* ‘self’ + *sána* ‘enormous’ + dynamic verbs suffix *-ya* + agentive noun suffix *-lá*:

(28:19) *Íle` té fóyi fê lè fó k’ í ké*
2SG COP:NEG nothing PP FOC but INF 2SG do

jèdesaanayalá` dí jàmaná` kóno...
tyrant-ART PP country-ART in

أَنْ تَكُونَ جَبَّارًا فِي الْأَرْضِ ‘Thou only desirest to be a tyrant in the land’.

⁷ The semantic link of this word with the corresponding Arabic word is unclear to me.

⁸ All Soninke data are taken from [Smeltzer & Smeltzer 2001].

Derivation by conversion

To create neologisms the translator often uses rare vocabulary and peripheral derivational models. Thus, the noun *páki* which is used by Kanté as an equivalent of Ar. *bayyina* 'clear evidence' (for instance, in the name of the sura 98 "The Clear Evidence", Ar. *sūrat al-bayyina*). The word *páki* does not appear in any available Maninka dictionary, including [Ellenberger, Ms.] and [Kánté 1998; Jàanɛ 2003]. Bailleul's Bamana dictionary [2007] contains an expressive adverb *páki* which denotes the sound of a stroke. Most probably, the neologism *páki* is derived from the expressive adverb *pákisa* 'very clear', whose second component may come back to the emphatic particle *sá*.⁹

Conversion (morphologically unmarked derivation from a lexeme belonging to another part of speech) is common in Manding, but it is applied relatively rarely to expressive adverbs. As shown by Dumestre [1998, 330-332], in Bamana certain expressive adverbs can function as diverse categories. It should be noted, at the same time, that this phenomenon rather belongs to the oral register. In written Bamana texts expressive adverbs derived through conversion are, from my experience, very infrequent.

Kanté extends this derivational model, and we find in his works numerous adjectives and, more rarely, nouns coined by conversion of expressive adverbs, as in the example cited. He uses this method not only in the translation of the Quran, but also in his other writings. Here are some more examples of adjectives derived from expressive adverbs by conversion taken from different N'ko texts: *bórrɔ* 'very straight', *fúse* 'snow white', *páyipayi* 'very hot', *pákisa* 'very clear', *pónkin* 'very high'.

The adjectivization of the agentive noun with the suffix *-la* is another example of conversion:

[Í dòn kánà kàlililabá fúufâafú dàamá` kán` mída féu.]

(68:10-11) *Léebu*¹⁰-*la* *mènde-ya-taama-la-bá`* *kàní́n*
backbite-AG slander-DEQU-go-AG-AUG-ART EMPH

⁹ According to Mamadi Diané, Director of the Institut de Recherches Linguistiques Appliquées à Conakry (p.c.), there is in Maninka an interjection *páakí* expressing a great amazement (most often negative).

¹⁰ It should be noted that in the fragment cited the translator uses a loanword *léebu* (most likely, an unconscious borrowing), from Soninke *leebu* 'to abuse', which is, in its turn, borrowed from Ar. *'al-'aib* 'vice, shortcoming'.

وَلَا تُطِعْ كُلَّ حَلَّافٍ مَّهِينٍ [هَمَّازٍ مَشَاءٍ بِنَمِيمٍ] ‘[And obey thou not every mean swearer,] backbiter, going about with slander...’

In this excerpt the word *mèndeyataamalabá* ‘going about with slander’ serves as a definition to *léebula* ‘backbiter’. Similar examples of adjectivization¹¹ are attested in the closely related Bamana language : *fâli báara-kε-la* donkey work-do-AG ‘working donkey’, *bilakoro bín-kan-na-w* uncircumcised.boy grass-cut-AG-PL ‘uncircumcised boy cutting grass’ [Dumestre 2003, 72]. This kind of conversion is relatively infrequent in Bamana. However, in written N’ko texts and, particularly, in the Quran, it is widely used.

It should be also noted that the verb *táama* ‘go’ is only used as intransitive in spoken Maninka, but in the noun phrase *léebula mèn̄deyataamalabá* it can only be interpreted as transitive, similarly to verbs in the cited Bamana examples. This can be explained, perhaps, by calquing the Arabic prepositional phrase *mashāin binamīmin* ‘going about with malicious gossip’.

Syntax

Despite the translator’s highly puristic stance, in the syntax of the translation one may find many syntactic calques from Arabic. The most frequent and, perhaps, the most interesting of them is the emergence of a construction very similar to Arabic verbal noun constructions, where the verb is followed by a paronymous verbal noun (masdar) in accusative case. For example, in the translation of the ayat 26:118 the Arabic verbal noun construction *if’tah ... fathan* ‘judge by judgement’ is calqued:

(26:118) *Ǹbà kítí n̄é` n’ àlu té kítí*
 INTRJ judge 1SG and 3PL between judgement
téé` lá fānsan.
 cutting-ART INSTR EMPH

فَأَفْتَحْ بَيْنِي وَبَيْنَهُمْ فَتْحًا ‘So give true deliverance between me and them...’ (Literally from Maninka: “Judge between us by cutting a judgment”.)

A similar case (a literal rendering of Arabic verbal noun construction) was observed by Zappa [2004, 83] in Modibo Jara’s Bamana translation of the Qur’an, although for a different verse.

¹¹ Under adjective I understand any word which can occupy the position of a second component of an attributive noun phrase.

There is another syntactic calque in the ayat 26:118 cited above, that of verbal government. The verb *kíti* is used as intransitive, the 3PL pronoun *àlu* with the postposition *té* being an indirect object. Such a translation could only have occurred under the influence of the Arabic wordform *baynahum* 'between them', whereas in spoken Maninka the verb *kíti* is only used as a transitive.

In the corpus of the translation, calqued "absolute accusative" constructions occur under the influence of the Arabic original text. At the same time, they are frequent in original N'ko texts written by Kanté and his followers. This syntactic innovation, therefore, has already become well-settled in the written N'ko language.

Here are some more examples of "absolute accusative case" in the Maninka Quran:

(4:164) *Ála` kònín` kúma dá Músa fê lè*
 God however speak PFV Moses pp FOC

kúma-ɲɔɔn-ya` pákisa¹² lá
 speech-RECP-ABSTR-ART clear pp

Arberry: '...and unto Moses God spoke directly.' Sahih International version: 'And Allah spoke to Moses with [direct] speech.'

[*Ò lá kà n` ná màntinin` bée másòso.*]

(54:42) *Ò ké án` k' àlu téetà nini-la*
 ANAPH do 1PL PFV 3PL seize be.omnipotent-AG

sé-baa` lá téeta-li` lá já` mà
 be.mighty-AG-ART pp seize-NMLZ-ART pp way-ART pp

[*كَذَّبُوا بِآيَاتِنَا كُفَّهَا*] [They cried lies to Our signs, all of them,] so We seized them with the seizing of One mighty, omnipotent.'

[*À ní kà dūu` ní kùrú` lú ta jénkeden*]

(69:14) *k' àlu mójɔnkɔ mójɔnkɔ-li kélen pé dí*
 INF 3PL crush crush-NMLZ one single pp

[*وَحُمِلَتِ الْأَرْضُ وَالْجِبَالُ*] [and the earth and the mountains are lifted up] and crushed with a single blow'

¹² It should be noted that the expressive *pákisa* 'clear' is used in this example as an adjective.

I found only one example where an Arabic absolute accusative case construction is not calqued in the translation. An expressive adverb *pídopido* which denotes a chopping sound is used instead:

[*Àlú dòn dánkanen nè.*]

(33:61) *Àlú b́aa sòdɔn fân-á-fân àlu*
 3PL COND.AFF find side-DISTR-side 3PL

yé m̀ida k' àlú f̂aa pídopido
 IPFV catch INF 3PL kill chopping.sound

أَيْنَمَا تُفَقُّوْا أُخِذُوا وَفُتِلُوا تَفْتِيْلًا [مَلْعُوْنِيْنَ] '[cursed they shalt be,] and wheresoever they are come upon they shall be seized and slaughtered all'

Text analysis: sura Al-Fatiha

How does the translator deal with larger structural units of the text? This issue will be illustrated by the example the first surah of the Quran, Al-Fatiha. The full Maninka glossed text is given below.

Dá-laka-lan` f̂s-da`
 door-open-INSTR-ART say-side-ART

(1:1) *Ála tɔɔ` lá, mà-hina-lá lè hína-la lè*
 God name PP SUPER-pity-AG.PRM FOC pity-PRM FOC

(1:2) *Tàndó` Ála yé, jáaba` (dònkó`) lú màarî lè*
 praise-ART God PP world-ART world-ART PL lord-ART FOC

(1:3) *Mà-hina-lá lè hína-la lè*
 SUPER-pity-AG.PRM FOC pity-AG.PRM FOC

(1:4) *Sàra-lî` lón` mànsá` lè*
 pay-NMLZ-ART day-ART lord-ART FOC

(1:5) *Án` y' íle` lè bàto lá,*
 1PL IPFV 2SG FOC honour PP

án` y' íle` lè má-tara lá
 1PL IPFV 2SG FOC SUPER-pray PP

(1:6) *Jàanin án` kánda síla télen-nen bórrò` kàn*
 please 1PL protect way be.straight-RES very.straight-ART on

(1:7) *Í néma-nen mén` nù mà ò lù lá*
 2SG be.righteous-RES REL-ART PL PP 3SG PL POS

síla` lè kó yé. Móné ké-nen mén` nù mà,
 way-ART FOC matter COP anger do-RES REL-ART PL PP
ò lù tá kó té fili-baa-nté`
 3SG PL POS matter COP:NEG make.mistake-AG.OCC-AG.EX-ART
lù fána tá kó té
 PL too POS matter COP:NEG

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ (1:1)

الْحَمْدُ لِلَّهِ رَبِّ الْعَالَمِينَ (1:2)

الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ (1:3)

مَالِكِ يَوْمِ الدِّينِ (1:4)

إِيَّاكَ نَعْبُدُ وَإِيَّاكَ نَسْتَعِينُ (1:5)

اهْدِنَا الصِّرَاطَ الْمُسْتَقِيمَ (1:6)

صِرَاطَ الَّذِينَ أَنْعَمْتَ عَلَيْهِمْ غَيْرِ الْمَغْضُوبِ عَلَيْهِمْ وَلَا الضَّالِّينَ (1:7)

‘(1:1) In the Name of God, the Merciful, the Compassionate.

(1:2) Praise belongs to God, the Lord of all Being,

(1:3) the All-merciful, the All-compassionate,

(1:4) the Master of the Day of Doom.

(1:5) Thee only we serve; to Thee alone we pray for succour.

(1:6) Guide us in the straight path,

(1:7) the path of those whom Thou hast blessed, not of those against whom Thou art wrathful, nor of those who are astray.’

The first ayat of Al-Fatiha includes the Basmala (Ar. *bis'mi l-lahi r-rahmāni r-rahīmi* ‘In the name of God, Most Gracious, Most Merciful’), the formula by which all subsequent suras (with the exception of the 9th) are opened. In other suras, however, the Basmala is not counted as an independent ayat. Paronymous Arabic adjectives *rahmānu* ‘gracious’ and *rahīmu* ‘merciful’ (represented by forms of the genitive case: *rahmāni*, *rahīmi*) are translated by the adjectives *mà-hina-lá* and *hína-la*, which are paronymous as well. The suffixed Arabic form *rahmāni* corresponds to the prefixed Maninka form *mà-hina-lá*, while the unsuffixed Arabic form *rahīmu* is translated by the unprefixd Maninka form *hína-la*. It should be noted that the Maninka stem *hína* ‘pity; to pity’ used in the translation is borrowed from Ar. *ḥanna* ‘to pity’, so Kanté translates one Arabic stem by another which is also Arabic by origin. The use of an obvious loanword contradicts puristic stance of the translator, but the closest synonym of *hína* in Maninka is another Arabic loanword: *màkadí*

(*màkari*) ‘to pity’, from Ar. *makara* ‘to deceive; to plot against smb’.¹³ In all other suras, the translation of the Basmala is the same.

According to the classical Nöldeke’s [1909-1919] chronology of the Quran, the surah Al-Fatiha belongs to the first Meccan period. The style of suras of that period is especially close to *saj’*, the archaic Arabic form of rhythmic prose originally practiced by diviners in pre-Islamic Arabia. The *saj’* is characterized by the following traits: brevity, the great number of short lines, parallelism and rhyme [Frolov 1995, 186].

The translator does justice to these characteristics of the original. He reproduces the rhyme by repeating the same auxiliaries from line to line: *lè* FOC, *lá* PP. In the ayat 1:7 he even strengthens the parallelism of the original by repeating the locative construction with the negative copula *té*.

Conclusions

The language of the translation of the Quran significantly differs from the spoken Maninka. The differences are conditioned, first, by Kanté’s coinage and, second, by the influence of the original Arabic text. The translator is rather strict in applying his puristic views and he fills his work with numerous neologisms, but sometimes yielding to tradition and using loanwords. Under the influence of the Arabic syntax, the translator sometimes violates (or, to put it more mildly, modifies) syntactic rules of his mother tongue. These modifications, however, never infringe the foundations of the grammar, such as the basic word order. Arabic calques appear in less central segments of grammar, such as verbal government or phraseology. A very special style of Quranic translation emerges as a result which can be compared, for instance, with the styles of translations of the Bible into various European languages, often influenced by Ancient Greek and Hebrew.

Abbreviations

Ar. – Arabic

AG.OCC – occasional agentive noun suffix (*-baa*)

AG.EX – excessive agentive noun suffix (*-nte*)

AG.PRM – primary agentive noun suffix (*-la*)

ANAPH – anaphoric pronoun (*ǒ*)

ART – definite article (*ˆ*)

AUG – augmentative suffix (*-ba*)

¹³ The semantic shift becomes more understandable when the data of a closely related Mandinka language are taken into account, where the noun *màkata* (*màkati*) has the meaning ‘contempt, disgrace’.

CAUS – causative prefix (*la-*)
COND.AFF – affirmative marker of conditional clause (*báa*)
COP – locative copula
DEQU – dynamic verb suffix (*-ya*)
EMPH – emphatic particle
FOC – focus marker (*lè*)
FUT – future
INF – infinitive marker (*kà*)
INSTR – instrumental postposition
INTRJ – interjection
IPFV – imperfective (*yé*)
LOC – locative postposition
NEG – negative
NMLZ – nominalizing suffix (*-li/-ni*)
OPT – optative marker (*yé*)
PL – plural (*lù/lú*)
POS – possessive marker (*lá/ná*)
PP – postpositon
PFV – perfective marker (*kà, -da*)
PRF – perfect marker (*báda*)
PTCP.POT – potential participle suffix (*-ta*)
QUOT – quotation marker (*kó*)
REL – relative marker (*mén`*)
RES – resultative marker (*-nen*)
SG – singular
SUPER – superessive verbal prefix (*má-*)

References

- Aaya tombodiñolu. Al-kuran baa.* Islamabad, Sheephatch Lane, Tilford: Islam International Publications, 1989.
- Bailleul, Charles. *Dictionnaire bambara-français. Troisième édition corrigée.* Bamako: Editions Donniya, 2007.
- Creissels, Denis, Jatta, Sidia, Jobarteh, Kalifa. Lexique mandinka-français. *Mandenkan*, 3, 1983.
- Dumestre, Gérard. Les idéophones : le cas du bambara. *Faits de langues* 11-12, 1998, pp. 321-334.
- Dumestre, Gérard. *Grammaire fondamentale du bambara.* Paris: Karthala, 2003.

- Ellenberger, Paul. et al. *Maninka-English Dictionary*. Kankan: The Christian Missionary Alliance, Ms.
- Frolov D.V. – Фролов Д.В. О стиле Корана. В кн.: *Теория стиля литератур Востока*. М.: Наука, 1995, С. 179-195. [On the style of the Quran. In: *Theory of Style of Oriental Literatures*. Moscow: Nauka, 1995, pp. 179-195].
- Jàanɛ, Bàbá Màmadí. *Nkó kóɔfɔlan kànjamáadi*. Káahìra, 2003. [*Explanatory N'ko Dictionary*. Cairo, 2003.]
- Jara, Dɔkala Yusufu. Elihaji Modibo Jara ye Alikurane mume bayelema bamanankan na [Elijah Modibo Jara has translated the whole Quran into Bamana]. *Kibaru*, 477, October 2011, pp. 1-2.
- Kánte, Súlemaana. *Ŋkó kóɔyidalan` wála fàsarilán` háman kóɔfɔlan Màndén fòdobakan yíriwanen dɔ, àní Fàdafinna Télebe jàwo kán` n`à kán sádamaba dɔ*. Kánkan, 1998 [*The Dictionary of N'ko, a Developed Common Manding Language of West Africa, and its Charming Language*. Kankan, 1998.]
- Kashtaleva K. S. – Кашталева К.С. Терминология Корана в новом освещении. В кн.: *ДАН СССР*, 1928, С. 7-12. [Terminology of the Quran: new interpretations. In: *Papers of the USSR Academy of Sciences*, 1928, pp. 7-12.]
- Kubbel, L. E. – Куббель Л.Е. «Страна золота» – века, культуры, государства. 2-е изд. М.: Наука, 1990. [*The Land of Gold: Ages, Cultures, States*. 2nd edition. Moscow: Nauka, 1990.]
- Kùrana kálanke n`à kóɔ` dálamìdanén màndén fòdoba kán` dɔ nálimun nkó` dí Kánte Súlemáana bólo*. Màdína, 1999. [*The Holy Quran and its Sense Translated to the Common Manding Language, or N'ko, by Souleymane Kanté*. Medina, 1999.]
- Launay, Robert. Spirit Media: the Electronic Media and Islam among the Dyula of Northern Côte d'Ivoire. *Africa*, 67:3, 1997, pp. 441-453.
- Mérad, Ali. La traduction du Coran, chap. X. In: *L'exégèse coranique*. Paris : Presses Universitaires de France (« Que sais-je ? »), 1998, pp. 110-120.
- Newton, Robert C. Out of Print: The Epic Cassette as Intervention, Reinvention, and Commodity. In: Ralph A. Austen (ed.). *Search of Sunjata. The Mande Oral Epic as History, Literature and Performance*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1999, pp. 313-328.
- Nöldeke, Theodor. *Geschichte des Qorans*, V. I-II. Leipzig, 1909-1919.
- Oyler, Dianne White. *The History of the N'ko Alphabet and its Role in Mande Transnational Identity: Words as Weapons*. Cherry Hill, NJ: Africana Homestead Legacy Press, 2005.

- Paret, Rudi. Translation of the Qur'ān; a. The orthodox doctrine concerning translation. In: Welch, A.T.; Paret, R.; Pearson, J.D. *al-Qur'ān, Encyclopaedia of Islam, Second Edition*. Leiden: Brill, vol. V, 1986, pp. 400-430.
- Schulz, Dorothea. Charisma and Brotherhood' Revisited: Mass-Mediated Forms of Spirituality in Urban Mali. *Journal of Religion in Africa*, 33:2, 2003, pp. 146-171.
- Schulz, Dorothea. Promises of (im)mediate salvation: Islam, broadcast media, and the remaking of religious experience in Mali. *American Ethnologist*, 33:2, 2006, pp. 210-229.
- Smeltzer, Brad & Smeltzer, Susan. *Lexique Soninké-Français-Anglais. Soninke-French-English Dictionary. Index Français-Soninké, English-Soninke*. Bamako, 2001.
- Tamari, Tal. L'exégèse coranique (tafsîr) en milieu mandingue. Rapport préliminaire sur une recherche en cours. *Islam et Sociétés au Sud du Sahara*, 10, 1996 pp. 45-79.
- Tamari, Tal. Islamic Higher Education in West Africa: Some Examples from Mali. In: H. Buchholt and G. Stauth (eds.), *Yearbook of the Sociology of Islam*, vol. 4, Islam in Africa (ed. by T. Bierschenk and G. Stauth). Münster: Lit Verlag, 2002, pp. 91-128.
- Tamari, Tal. L'enseignement islamique traditionnel de niveau avancé : cursus, pédagogie, implications culturelles et perspectives comparatives. *Mande Studies*, 8, 2006, pp. 39-62.
- Vydrine, Valentin. *Manding-English Dictionary (Maninka, Bamana). Vol. 1: A-DAD*. St. Petersburg: Dimitry Bulanin Publishing House, 1999.
- Vydrine, Valentin (ed.). Lettres de Souleymane Kanté et Maurice Houis edité par Valentin Vydrine. *Mande Studies*, 3, 2001, pp. 133-146.
- Vydrin, Valentin. To be respectful in Mande: Where does Maninka honorific vocabulary come from? In: S. Belcher, J. Jansen, M. N'Daou (eds.). *Mande Mansa: Essays in honor of David C. Conrad*. Zürich – Berlin: Lit, 2008, pp. 216-225.
- Zappa, Francesco. L'islamizzazione della lingua bambara in Mali. Tra pubblicistica scritta e letteratura orale. *Rivista degli Studi Orientali*, vol. LXXVII, Pise/Rome : Istituti Editoriali e Poligrafici Internazionali, 2004, pp. 39-86.
- Zappa, Francesco. Écrire l'Islam en bambara. Lieux, réseaux et enjeux de l'entreprise d'al-Hâjj Modibo Diarra. *Archives de Sciences Sociales des Religions*, 147, 2009, pp. 167-186.

Résumé :

Sur la traduction de Quran en maninka par Souleymane Kantè

L'article traite de la traduction de Quran en maninka par Souleymane Kantè, le créateur de la tradition écrite du N'ko. Les approches du traducteur sont analysées par rapport à la transmission du vocabulaire coranique : les emprunts, la néologie, la transformation des mots quotidiens en termes. Quelques particularités syntaxiques de la traduction révélant une influence de l'original arabe sont détectées. La traduction de la première sourat « Fatiha » est analysée plus en détail.

Key words : Maninka, Malinké, N'ko, Souleyman Kantè, Coran, translation.