

Identificational constructions in Wan

This paper explores identificational predication in Wan (Southeastern Mande, Côte d'Ivoire). Like many other Mande languages, Wan does not have a two-place identificational predicate that could be used with two noun phrases (cf. *John is a teacher*). Instead, the corresponding meaning can be encoded in a construction involving a copula and a postpositional phrase (1).

- (1) yàá pō zìè yā
 3SG+COP thing bad PPS
 'It is a bad thing.'

The postposition *yā* displays a polysemy pattern typical of postpositions involved in identificational constructions in other Mande languages. It introduces instruments (2a), accompanying objects and actions (2b-c), material (2d), and result of a transformation (cf. especially 2d vs. 2e). The same postposition is involved in property predication (2f), introduces a variety of non-finite complements (2g), and appears with certain arguments that can be described in terms of comparison (2h).

- (2) a. Instrument
 è b̀̀lè dō tē klāŋ yā
 3SG bird one killed bow with
 'He killed a bird with a bow.'
- b. Accompanying object
 lē dō zō yí yā à lèŋ
 woman one came water with 3SG to
 'A woman brought him water.'
- c. Accompanying action
 nàá g̀̀ lé bā lé pō ló yā
 1SG+COP go PROG field at thing eat with
 'I am going to the field and eating.'
- d. Material
 è yá-ŋ pō dō wō yrē yā
 3SG sit-NMLZ thing one made wood PPS
 'He made a seat of wood.'
- e. Result of transformation
 è yrē dō bō yán pō yā
 3SG wood one carved sit-NMLZ thing PPS
 'He carved a piece of wood into a seat.'
- f. Property predication
 yàá é zìè-zìè yā
 3SG REFL bad-bad PPS
 'He is evil.'

- g. Non-finite complement
 ñ kúnā pō ló yā
 1SG started thing eat PPS
 ‘I started to eat.’
- h. Comparison
 à mláá é dèè yā
 3SG come.out:STAT.RSLT REFL father PPS
 ‘He resembles his father.’

The broad range of uses suggests that the postposition *yā* can hardly be regarded as a semantically meaningful component of identificational predication. Rather, its use in (1) appears to be determined by the syntactic properties of the corresponding copula, which must be followed by a postpositional phrase or an adverb. Accordingly, noun phrases that have adverbial equivalents do not combine with a postposition; for example, inherently locational nouns, which can be used either as nouns or as adverbs (*Kwātá* in the examples below), cannot be introduced by the postposition *yā* (3a vs. 3b).

- (3) a. Kwātá á ñ gā-ŋ kōŋ yā
 K. COP 1SG go-NMLZ village PPS
 ‘Kwata is the village I’m going to.’
- b. ñ gā-ŋ kōŋ é á Kwātá (*yā)
 1SG go-NMLZ village DEF COP K. PPS
 ‘The village I’m going to is Kwata.’

This restriction shows that the primary function of the postposition *yā* in the identificational construction is syntactic: it introduces noun phrases in a syntactic position restricted to adverbs and postpositional phrases. Hence, the characteristic pattern of polysemy displayed by that postposition does not necessarily imply a semantic and/or diachronic relation between the identificational construction and all other constructions illustrated in (2a-g); rather, it has to do with the function of *yā* as a general-purpose, “default” postposition that encodes a variety of (possibly unrelated) meanings for which no specialized postposition exist.

Further exploration of the encoding of identification in discourse reveals that the use of a copula with the postposition *yā* is but one type of identificational construction in Wan. Considerably more frequent in ordinary discourse is a construction with the one-place predicate *má* ‘it/he/she is’, in which the object being identified must be discourse-given.

- (4) pō zìè má
 thing bad it.is
 ‘It’s a bad thing.’

The predicate *má*, together with the construction involving the postposition *yā*, effectively compensate for the absence of a European-style specialized two-place identificational predicate of the type BE(x, y).