S/TAM/P morphs (portmanteau subject/TAM/polarity morphs) in Niger-Congo languages

The use of portmanteau morphs that encode the referent properties of subjects in addition to various TAM and polarity categories also (sometimes also subject-object combinations) is highly skewed areally, occuring in defined clusters restricted to certain parts of the world (Anderson 2006), viz. Melanesia-Australia, but most especially to a large band stretching from west to east across Africa that subsumes many of the groups traditionally classified under the Niger-Congo phylum. In part due to areal influences that cross-cut genetic units within and outside of Niger-Congo, the constituency of Niger-Congo has indeed been challenged in recent work. In this paper, I discuss the morpho/syntactic typology, syntactic status, origin, and subsequent historical developments and areal distribution of this unusual and oft overlooked phenomenon that fuses subject referent properties with TAM and polarity categories, which I call 'S/TAM/P' morphs, among the languages of the Niger-Congo phylum (see also Babaev 2010). In addition to helping shed some light on the pre-history of Niger-Congo, the study further serves as a demonstration of contemporary approaches that have been recently called for with respect to typological surveying in Africa (Dimmendaal 2008, Sands 2009, Segerer [& Lionnet] 2010, Blench 2011).

Note that S/TAM/P morphs also occur in other genetic units of the 'Macro-Sudan Belt' (Güldemann 2008, cf. also Dryer 2009), such as Chadic and Bongo-Bagirmi in particular, but these latter remain outside the scope of the present study. Genetic units traditionally subsumed under Niger-Congo presented here include Benue-Congo sub-families (Bantoid, Cross River, Plataeu-Tarokoid, Kainji, Bendi), Nupoid-Okoid-Idomoid, Youruboid-Edoid-Akokoid-Igboid, Ukaan, Gbe, Kwa sub-families (Ka-Togo, Na-Togo, Potou-Tano, Ga-Dangme), Ega, Kru, Senufic, Gur, Kulango-Lorhon, Fali, Leko-Nimbari, Mbum-Day, Waja-Kam, Jen Bambukic, 'Atlantic' groups (Cangin, Wolof, Tenda), Mande families (E, SW, SE NW) and Ubangian families (Zande, Gbaya, Ngbandi, Mba).

Free-standing S/TAM/P morphs generally represent the fusing of subject markers or subject pronouns with highly eroded TAM and polarity auxiliaries. Such forms are particularly characteristic of the Niger-Congo languages and other groups within the Macro-Sudan Belt of Africa (1), but occur only sporadically elsewhere in Africa. Complex verb forms representing the fusing of these constructions are found throughout the area as well (2). Such complex verb forms may be more common in Niger-Congo languages than is generally reported due to constraints imposed by certain (e.g., francophone) grammatical traditions (Creissels et al. 2008), but it is a true generalization that the further east one goes in the Macro-Sudan Belt region, the more likely one is to find fused forms of this sort, not free-standing S/TAM/P morphs. Lastly, while the phenomenon cross-cuts genetic units, there appear to be recurrent and consistent patterns of correspondence within genetic units, such that certain specific traits can be tentatively reconstructed for various older historical stages or proto-languages. Preliminary reconstructions are offered for Proto-Benue-Congo (3) and more tentative ones (e.g., for Proto-Atlantic-Congo) as well.

```
(1) a. Kulango b. Kulango
                              c. Kulango
                                              d. Fyem
                                                                     e. Fyem
тì
       dźlì
               míì
                       dàlì
                               тá
                                      dàlì
                                              náá
                                                      soo Gindirín
                                                                                     dirámmék
                                                                             SOO
1.PRF
       sell
               1.PROG sell
                                              1.PRF
                                                     go Gindiri
                               1.HAB sell
                                                                      1.IMPF go
                                                                                     farm.your.OBLQ
'I have sold'
                                              'I went to Gindiri'
                                                                      'I will go to your farm'
                'I am selling'
                               'I sell'
                                              (Nettle 1998: 32; 35) [Plateau (Southeastern)]
(Elders 2007: 193)
                       [Kulango-Lorhon]
f. 'Bozom
               g. 'Bozom
                                h. 'Bozom
                                                      i. Mano
Рà
                 má rè
                                 Рà
                                       rè-á
                                                      lèέ
                                                                     máá
                                                                             wè
                                                                                             gèē
```

speak

enter.PFV 3.IRR enter.PRF 3.RLS enter.PRF-DEP 3SG.NEG[HAB] Mano language

3.RLS

'he enters' 'he will enter' 'he has entered' 's/he doesn't speak Mano' (Moñino 1995: 159) [Gbaya Ubangi] (Vydrine 2009: 226; Vydrin 2006) [Mande]

(2) Ndemli

mà-bó-di:

1.PST-TAM-eat

'I have just eaten' (Ngoran 1999: 72) [Bantoid]

(3) *mé (~*mí) I.IRR (/NPST) *ma- I.RLS (/PST/PRF/)

PROTO-BENUE-CONGO

References:

- Anderson, G. D. S. 2006. Auxiliary Verb Constructions. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Babaev, K. 2010. Reconstructing Benue-Congo person marking II. *Journal of Language Relationship/Voprosy Jazykovogo Rodstva* 4: 1–45.
- Blench, R. 2011. Does Kordofanian constitute a group and if not, where does its languages fit into Niger-Congo?. Presented at Nuba Hills Conference, Leiden.
- Creissels, Denis, Gerrit J. Dimmendaal, Zygmunt Frajzyngier, and Christa König. 2008. Africa as a morhosyntactic area. In Heine, Bernd & Derek Nurse (eds.) *A Linguistic Geography of Africa*, 86-150. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Dimmendaal, G. 2008. Language ecology and linguistic diversity in Africa. *Language and Linguistics Compass* 2 (5): 840-858.
- Dryer, Matthew. 2009. Verb-object-negative order in central Africa. In Cyffer et al. (eds.), 307-362.
- Elders, S. 2007. Complex Verb Morphology in Kulango (Gur): Similarities and Dissimilarities with Bantu. In Kula, N. C. and L. Marten (eds.). *Bantu in Bloomsbury: Special Issue on Bantu linguistics*. *SOAS Working Papers in Linguistics* 15. London: University of London, 187-200.
- Güldemann, T. 2008. The Macro-Sudan Belt: towards identifying a linguistic area in northern sub-Saharan Africa. In Heine and Nurse (eds.), 151-185.
- Heine, B. and D. Nurse. 2008. *A Linguistic Geography of Africa*. Cambridge Approaches to Language Contact. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Moñino, Y. 1995. Le Proto-Gbaya. Essai de linguistique comparative sur vingt-et-une langues d'Afrique centrale. Paris: Peeters.
- Nettle, D. 1998. *The Fyem Language of N. Nigeria*. Languages of the World/Materials 136. München: Lincom.
- Ngoran Loveline Lenaka. 1999. A Sketch Outline of the Phonology of Ndemli. Yaoundé: University of Yaoundé, MA Thesis.
- Sands, Bonny. 2009. Africa's Linguistic Diversity. *Language and Linguistics Compass* 3 (2): 559-580.
- Segerer, G. & F. Lionnet. 2010. 'Isolates' in Atlantic. Presented at Language Isolates in Africa workshop, Lyon, Dec. 4
- Vydrin, Valentin F. 2006. Lichnye mestoimenija v juzhnykh jazykakh mande [Personal pronouns in South Mande languages]. *Trudy instituta lingvisticheskh issledovanij. Tom 2, chast' 2,* 327-413. Sankt-Petersburg: Nauka.
- Vydrine, Valentin F. 2009. Negation in Southern Mande. In Cyffer, Norbert, Erwin Ebermann and Georg Ziegelmeyer (eds.) *Negation Patterns in West African Languages and Beyond*. Typological Studies in Language 87. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins (eds.), 223-260.