

Multiple Focus and Sluicing in Spoken Georgian and Megrelian

Zakharia Pourtskhvanidze, Maia Lomia, Rusudan Gersamia

This paper deals with so far not studied aspects of the topic—sluicing and corresponded information structuring effects—in two south Kartvelian languages: Georgian and Megrelian. The recent developments in the corpus linguistics regarding Kartvelian languages allow a corpus-based investigation of above topic.¹

Sluicing is a type of ellipsis and a characteristic feature of the spoken language. Sluicing (Ross.²) is considered as advantageous context for an adequate explanation of syntactic constructions and pragmatic implicatures.³

The predominant property of sluicing is the stranded remnants of the interrogative phrase in the form of the *wh*-word clause-final position. (1) *I was afraid of something that day, but I didn't know of what.*

In most of the cases they are of the form:

- Matrix sentence + subordinate clause: (negated) *verbum* (sentiendi) + *wh*-word [~ omission].

The example (G1) correspond with (1). (For full constructions see Appendix 1.)

(G⁴1) [...] *magram ver gavarčie ra.*
 but NEG distinguish1SG **what**
 [...] but I could not see **what**.

The omitted phrase (Geo) [*sagnebi (ečirat (xelši))*] / (Megr) [(*mugdarenepi (ukebudes (xes))*)] “kind of things (they kept (in hand))” complete the syntactic construction at different clause positions depending on the language:

(G1) [...] *magram ver gavarčie ra [sagnebi (ečirat (xelši))]*.
 [...] but I could not see **what** [kind of things (they kept (in hand))].

(M⁵1) [...] *mara mu-[mugdarenepi (ukebudes (xes))]-ni va-gmarčiebu.*
 [...] but **what** [kind of things (they kept (in hand))], I could not see.

We assign the omission of the type (M1), in which the finite construction does not precede rather follows the *wh*-word, to *pseudo-sluicing*.

- Matrix sentence + subordinate clause: *wh*-word [~ omission] + (negated) *verbum* (sentiendi).

Georgian uses both ways in different frequency, while Megrelian knows only pseudo-sluicing (Table1.):

	Georgian	Megrelian
Sluicing	+	-
Pseudo-Sluicing	+	+

Table 1.

We observe different stratification of the overlapped focus scopes by *wh*- words and negation particles in both languages. In Georgian is the negation particle more highlighted as the sluiced *wh*- word, whereas in Megrelian we verify a situation vice versa. Information structure effects by sluicing interact with basic constituent order in the subordinate clause. It is likely that the different sluicing behaviour and depending realization of focus strategies in (G3) and (M3) corresponds to the different degree of word order flexibility in the treated languages.

- (G3) [...] *daagviana* *da* *mere* **rogor!**_{FOCUS} ((German) *er hat sich verspätet und wie!*)
 He was late and than **how!**_{FOCUS}
- (M3) [...] *degviano* *do* *uḱuli* **mučō**_{FOCUS} *degviano. late* cf. **degviano do uḱuli mučo.*
 He was late and than **how**_{FOCUS} he was!

The fact, that “**degviano do uḱuli mučo*” is fully ungrammatical, suggests that Megrelian tends to order with obligatory final verb position, while in Georgian this is optional. That has some consequential effects in regard to information structuring discussed in the given paper.

1 <http://clarino.uib.no/gnc/> (Georgian National Corpus, SSGG – Spoken Georgian Language Corpus, Size (tokens) 179 429).

2 Ross:1969.

3 Merchant:2001.

4 G~Georgian.

5 M~Megrelian.

Appendix 1.

(G1) *xelši ertnairi pormis sagnebi ečirat, magram ver gavarčie ra.*
 hand.in identical formGEN itemPL hold3PL, but NEG distinguish 1SG **wh**

Literally: In hand uniform things they kept, but I could not see **what**.

(M1) *xes artner formaš mudgarenepi uķebudes, mara mu-ni va-gmarčiebu.*
 hand.in identical formGEN itemPL hold3PL, but **wh-** whether NEG-distinguish 1SG

Literally: In hand uniform things they kept, but **what** whether I could not see.

They kept uniform things in hand, but I could not see **what**.

(G2) *vusmendi. rağacas mnišvnelovans rom ambobda, vxvdebodi. magarm – ras, ver vigebdi.*
 vusmendi rağacas mnišvnelovans rom ambobda, vxvdebodi. Listen 1SG somethingDAT importantDAT that
 say 3SG guess 1SG
magarm – ras, ver vigebdi.
 but – **what,** NEG understand 1SG

Literally: I listened to her. Something important that she said, I guessed, but – **what**, I did not understand.

(M2) *určkiledi. mudgareni sarķo rduni tis ičiebudu-ni, bxvadudi. mara musu-ni, va-vgebulendi.*
 určkiledi. mudgare-ni sarķo rdu-ni tis ičiebudu-ni,
 I listened (to her). somethingDAT-that importantDAT was-that this say 3SG-that
 bxvadudi. mara musu-ni, va-vgebulendi.
 guess 1SG but, **what**-that NEG-undestand 1SG.

Literally: I listened to her. That something important that was, this she that said, I guessed, but **what** whether, I did not understand.

I listened to her. I guessed that she said something important, but I did not understand **what**.

(G3) *satamašod gakceul švils dedam miazaxa – ar daavianoo. daagviana da mere rogor!*
 satamašod gakceul švils dedam miazaxa – ar daavianoo.
 to play racing sonDAT motherERG call after 3SG NEG be late 2SG. QUOT
 daagviana da and mere than **rogor!**
 late 3SG **how!**

Literally: To the son, who race to play, the mother called after – do not be late! He was late and **how!**

(M3) *olağapuša irṭuni ti sķuas didak miakazaxu – ve-degvianu-ava. degviano do uķuli mučo degviano.*
 olağapuša irṭu-ni ti sķuas didak miakazaxu – ve-degvianu-ava.
 to play race-who do this sonDAT motherERG call after 3SG - NEG-belate-quot
 degviano and uķuli **mučo** degviano.
 late 3SG and than **how** late 3SG.

Literally: To play who race this son the mother called after – do not be late! He was late and than **how** late he was!

The mother called after to the son, who was racing to play – do not be late! He was late. *And how!*